



STATE OF NEW JERSEY
Board of Public Utilities
44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350
www.nj.gov/bpu/

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES**

A Regular Board meeting of the Board of Public Utilities was held on March 24, 2021, via Teleconference: 1 312 626 6799 Webinar ID: 943 9653 1841 or watch online @ <https://youtu.be/FU8n-ckDVQc>

Public notice was given pursuant to N.J.S.A. 10:4-18 by posting notice of the meeting at the Board's Trenton Office, on the Board's website, filing notice of the meeting with the New Jersey Department of State and the following newspapers circulated in the State of New Jersey:

Asbury Park Press
Atlantic City Press
Burlington County Times
Courier Post (Camden)
Home News Tribune (New Brunswick)
North Jersey Herald and News (Passaic)
The Record (Hackensack)
The Star Ledger (Newark)
The Trenton Times

The following members of the Board of Public Utilities were present:

Joseph L. Fiordaliso, President
Mary-Anna Holden, Commissioner
Dianne Solomon, Commissioner
Upendra J. Chivukula, Commissioner
Robert M. Gordon, Commissioner

President Fiordaliso presided at the meeting and Aida Camacho-Welch, Secretary of the Board, carried out the duties of the Secretary.

It was announced that the next regular Board Meeting would be held on April 7, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. via teleconference with details to follow.

CONSENT AGENDA

I. AUDITS

A. Energy Agent Initial Registrations

EE21020284L Alfa Energy, LLC I – EA

EE21020278L Worthington Energy Consultants, LLC I – EA

Energy Agent and/or Private Aggregator Renewal Registrations

EE21010035L Aurora Energy Advisors, LLC R – EA

EE21020486L National Utility Service, Inc.
d/b/a NUS Consulting Group R – EA

EE20020159L NuEnergen, LLC R – EA

EE21010058L Bradley R. Lewis
d/b/a CreativEnergy Options R – EA

EE21020482L Electricity Ratings, LLC R – EA

EE21020122L Broadleaf, LLC
d/b/a Broadleaf Energy R – EA

EE21020102L Advantage Energy Partners, LLC R – EA

EE20020160L America Approved Commercial, LLC
d/b/a America Approved Energy Services R – EA

EE20020141L H.P. Technologies, Inc. R – EA

EE20060443L Burton Energy Group, Inc. R – EA

EE21020133L Connect Energy Resources, LLC R – EA

EE20110714L Charity Plus Power, Inc. R – EA/PA
GE20110715L

EE20020118L Kismet Energy R – EA/PA
GE20020119L d/b/a Kizmet Energy Solutions

EE21020095L NORESKO, LLC R – EA/PA/EC
GE21020096L

EE21020130L Muirfield Energy, Inc. R – EA/PA/EC
GE21020131L

EE19080901L Diversegy, LLC R – EA/PA/EC
GE19080902L

EE21010043L	EMEX, LLC	R – EA/PA/EC
GE21010041L	d/b/a EMEX Power, LLC	
EE21020116L	American PowerNet Management, LP	R – EA/PA/EC
GE21020117L		
EE21020598L	Big Bang Energy Group	R – EA/PA/EC
GE21020599L	d/b/a VETAL	
<u>Electric Power Supplier Initial License</u>		
EE21020489L	ENGIE Power and Gas, LLC	I – EGSL
GE21020491L	f/k/a Plymouth Rock Energy, LLC	

BACKGROUND: The Board must register all energy agents, private aggregators, and consultants, and the Board must license all third party electric power suppliers and natural gas suppliers. On May 10, 2019, P.L. 2019, c. 100-101 was signed into law providing that third party electric power and natural gas supplier licenses issued by the Board may be renewed without expiring if certain conditions are met. An electric power supplier and/or natural gas supplier license shall not expire so long as the licensee pays to the Board a license renewal fee accompanied by an annual information update on a form prescribed by the Board.

The renewal fee and annual information update form must be submitted within 30 days prior to the anniversary date of the last approved licensing application. P.L. 2019, c. 100-101 became operative 60 days following the date of enactment. As such, any third party suppliers with a license expiring prior to July 9, 2019 were still required to submit the previous renewal application form. Any third party supplier renewal application that was filed prior to July 9, 2019 has been, and will continue to, be processed by Board Staff for approval or denial in accordance with N.J.A.C. 14:4-5.7. The anniversary date for companies with a pending application will be the date that the renewal application receives Board approval.

An energy agent, private aggregator, or energy consultant registration shall be valid for one year from the date of issue. Annually thereafter, licensed electric power suppliers and natural gas suppliers, as well as energy agents, private aggregators, and energy consultants, are required to renew timely their licenses and registrations in order to continue to do business in New Jersey.

Staff recommended that the following applicants be issued initial registrations as an energy agent for one year:

- Alfa Energy LLC
- Worthington Energy Consultants, LLC

Staff also recommended that the following applicants be issued renewal registrations as an energy agent, private aggregator and/or energy consultant for one year:

- Aurora Energy Advisors, LLC
- National Utility Services, Inc. NUS Consulting Group

- Bradley R Lewis d/b/a CreativEnergy Options
- Electricity Ratings LLC
- NuEnergen, LLC
- Broadleaf, LLC d/b/a Broadleaf Energy
- Advantage Energy Partners, LLC
- America Approved Commercial d/b/a America Approved Energy Services
- H.P. Technologies, Inc.
- Burton Energy Group, Inc.
- Connect Energy Resources, LLC
- Charity + Power, Inc.
- Kismet Energy d/b/a Kizmet Energy Solutions
- NORESKO, LLC
- Muirfield Energy, Inc.
- Diversegy, LLC
- EMEX, LLC d/b/a EMEX Power, LLC
- American PowerNet Management, LP d/b/a American PowerNet
- Big Bang Energy Group LLC d/b/a VETAL

Staff further recommended that the following applicant be issued initial licenses as an electric power and natural gas supplier for one year:

- ENGIE Power & Gas, LLC f/k/a Plymouth Rock Energy LLC

DECISION: The Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

II. ENERGY

A. Docket No. GF20120749 – In the Matter of the Petition of Elizabethtown Gas Company to Issue Long-Term Debt and Security therefor and for Authority to Issue and Sell Short-Term Indebtedness, all through December 31, 2023.

BACKGROUND: On December 11, 2020, Elizabethtown Gas Company (Petitioner, Company or Elizabethtown) filed a petition with the Board requesting authority to:

- make, execute and deliver, prior to December 31, 2023, a Supplemental Mortgage Indenture and any such additional Supplemental Mortgage Indentures as may be advisable providing for the issuance of a First Mortgage Bond or First Mortgage Bonds, with a maturity or maturities of not more than 40 years from the respective dates of issuance, in an aggregate principal amount of not more than \$700,000,000.00 (the Financing Cap) less the aggregate principal amount of any other long-term indebtedness issued pursuant to this Petition payable in more than 12 months from the date or dates thereof;
- issue and sell Bonds (whether First Mortgage Bonds or unsecured Bonds) with a maturity of not more than 40 years from the respective dates of issuance, in an aggregate principal amount of not more than the Financing Cap less the aggregate principal amount of any other indebtedness payable in more than 12 months from the date or dates thereof;

- iii. issue other evidence of indebtedness, including credit agreements or notes, payable more than 12 months from the date or dates thereof;
- iv. redeem, refinance (without regard to and unaffected by the Financing Cap), or defease any or all of its outstanding long-term indebtedness or long-term debt securities as long as the redemption, refinancing or defeasance is financially advantageous to the Petitioner; and
- v. issue, renew or extend, prior to December 31, 2023, unsecured notes and/or other evidence of indebtedness payable not more than 12 months after the date(s) thereof (Short-Term Debt). For the reasons set forth herein, the Petitioner hereby requested an aggregate principal amount of Short-Term Debt obligations outstanding at any one time not to exceed \$300,000,000.00.

The New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel reviewed this matter and by letter dated March 12, 2021, stated that it did not oppose to Board approval of the Petition subject to certain conditions.

The Office of the Economist found that the action requested is in accordance with the law and in the public interest and therefore recommended approval of the petition.

DECISION: The Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

III. CABLE TELEVISION

There were no items in this category.

IV. TELECOMMUNICATIONS

A. Docket No. TM21010049 – In the Matter of the Verified Joint Petition of 11:11 Systems, Inc. and Engine Communications Infrastructure, Inc. for Grant of the Authority to Complete a Series of Transactions Resulting in the Transfer of Control of Two Authorized Carriers.

BACKGROUND: On January 15, 2021, 11:11 Systems, Inc. and Engine Communications Infrastructure, Inc. (the parties hereinafter collectively referred to as Petitioners) submitted a Petition with the Board requesting authority, to the extent it may be required, to enable the Petitioners to consummate a transaction whereby direct ownership and control over the Petitioners will be transferred (Transaction).

The Petitioners are sister subsidiaries of the same corporate parent, and have agreed to complete a transaction, whereby control over the Petitioners would be transferred. Following closing of the Transaction, the same services will continue to be offered in New Jersey at the same rates, terms, and conditions to customers.

The New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel submitted comments by letter dated February 22, 2021, which stated that it would not oppose Board approval of the proposed transaction.

Staff, having reviewed the Petition and supporting documents, did not find any reason to believe that there will be an adverse impact on rates, competition in New Jersey, the employees of the Petitioners, or on the provision of safe, adequate and proper service to New Jersey consumers. Moreover, a positive benefit may be expected from the strengthening of the Petitioners' competitive posture in the telecommunications market.

Staff recommended that the Petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Transaction.

DECISION: The Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

V. WATER

There were no items in this category.

VI. RELIABILITY AND SECURITY

There were no items in this category.

VII. CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE

There were no items in this category.

VIII. CLEAN ENERGY

There were no items in this category.

IX. MISCELLANEOUS

A. Approval of Minutes for the January 27, 2021 and February 11, 2021 Agenda Meetings.

BACKGROUND: Staff presented the January 27, 2021 and February 11, 2021 Board meeting minutes and recommended they be accepted.

DECISION: The Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

After appropriate motion, the consent agenda was approved.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

AGENDA

1. AUDITS

Alice Bator, Director, Division of Audits, presented this matter.

- A. Docket No. EO21030642 – In the Matter of the Alleged Failure of United Energy Insights, LLC d/b/a United Energy Consultants to Comply with Certain Provisions of N.J.S.A. 48:3-78 et seq., and the New Jersey Administrative Code, N.J.A.C. 14:4-1.1 et seq.**

Energy Agent and Private Aggregator Initial Registrations

EE20100666L	United Energy Insights, LLC d/b/a United Energy Consultants	EA
--------------------	--	-----------

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: This matter involved potential violations under the Electric Discount and Energy Competition Act (Act), and N.J.A.C. 14:4-1.1 et seq. (the Regulations) by United Energy Insights, LLC d/b/a United Energy Consultants (UEC), which has been operating as an energy agent to provide services to commercial and industrial customers in New Jersey. As a result of correspondence between Staff and United Energy Insights, LLC d/b/a United Energy Consultants, United Energy Insights, LLC d/b/a United Energy Consultants submitted an Offer of Settlement (Offer) regarding its alleged violations. In the Offer of Settlement, UEC made a monetary offer in the amount of \$3,800.00 in order to resolve all issues concerning the violations.

Staff recommended that the Board issue an order accepting the Offer of Settlement of \$3,800.00 as it represents a reasonable settlement of potential violations with the following five conditions:

1. UEC will pay to the State of New Jersey the sum of \$3,800.00 in full and final settlement of any and all potential violations under the Act and/or the Regulations, which have been or could have been alleged by the Board or the Staff against UEC, up to and including February 16, 2021.
2. The Offer of Settlement shall not relieve UEC or its parents, affiliates, subsidiaries or successors, from any violations, if any, of the Act, the Regulations, or Board Orders that may occur after February 16, 2021.
3. Any future repeated violation(s) of the Act, the Regulations, or Board Orders by UEC or its parent, affiliates, subsidiaries, or successors that may now or in the future provide energy services that is the subject of this Offer of Settlement, shall be deemed to be a second, third, or subsequent violation, as appropriate.
4. UEC will comply with all provisions of the Act and Regulations regarding Registrant renewal requirements.
5. The execution of this Offer of Settlement shall not be relied upon by UEC or its affiliates, subsidiaries or successors in any attempt to mitigate any future

claim that any such entity has violated the terms and conditions of the Act, the Regulations, or any Board Order.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

Staff also recommended that the Board approve the initial application filed by United Energy Insights, LLC d/b/a United Energy Consultants.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

2. ENERGY

Stacy Peterson, Director, Division of Energy, presented these matters.

A. Docket No. EM20070475 – In the Matter of the Petition of Atlantic City Electric Company for the Approval of the Sale of Transformers and Other Equipment Pursuant to the “RESTORE” Agreement.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On July 1, 2020, Atlantic City Electric Company (ACE) filed a petition with the Board seeking approval of the potential sale of spare transmission power transformers and other equipment, resulting from ACE’s participation in the Agreement for Regional Equipment Sharing for Transmission Outage Restoration (RESTORE or RESTORE Agreement). ACE also sought confirmation that any sales of transformers and other equipment by ACE under the RESTORE Agreement are compliant with the Board’s Affiliate Relations Standards.

According to the Petition, RESTORE is an equipment-sharing initiative by which participating utilities may sell spare transformers and other equipment to another utility following a Triggering Event. According to ACE, the RESTORE Agreement will ensure system reliability by eliminating the long lead times normally associated with manufacturing and acquiring transformers.

Currently, approximately 30 utilities from around the country have become signatories to RESTORE. In December 2018, Exelon Business Services Company, LLC executed a joinder agreement on behalf of its electric utility operating companies, by which ACE became a signatory to RESTORE.

On December 1, 2020, the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel (Rate Counsel) submitted comments indicating that it did not object to the relief sought by ACE, but requested that approval be contingent upon certain conditions and reporting requirements. On February 15, 2021, ACE submitted reply comments requesting a modification to a certain condition proposed by the Rate Counsel related to affiliate transactions. However, ACE indicated that it did not object to the other conditions suggested by the Rate Counsel.

Staff recommended that the Board approve ACE's requests, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Board Order. Additionally, Staff recommended a waiver of the applicability of N.J.A.C. 14:1-5.6 to transfers made pursuant to the RESTORE Agreement.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

B. Docket No. ER20110693 – In the Matter of the Petition of Atlantic City Electric Company for Approval of Electric Base Rate Adjustments Pursuant to the PowerAhead Program (11/2020).

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On November 2, 2020, Atlantic City Electric Company (ACE or Company) filed a petition with the Board seeking review and approval of the capital investments related to the Company's PowerAhead Program (PowerAhead) that were placed in service from July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 (November 2020 Petition).

In the November 2020 Petition, ACE sought to recover a revenue requirement of \$1,111,715.00 related to PowerAhead investments through December 31, 2020. The November 2020 Petition was based upon actual costs through September 30, 2020 of approximately \$838,869.00, and projected PowerAhead expenditures from October 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020 of \$7,693,103.00, for a total projected investment of \$8,531,972.00.

On January 19, 2021, the Company provided an update to the November 2020 Petition to include actual PowerAhead expenditures through December 31, 2020 (January Update). The January update reflected a proposed revenue requirement of \$1,063,199.00 with \$8,135,646.00 in PowerAhead investments placed in service.

Following a review of the November 2020 Petition, the January Update, and discovery responses, the Company, Board Staff and the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel (collectively, Parties) executed a stipulation of settlement (Stipulation) that would allow the Company to recover a revenue requirement of \$1,063,199.00.

Staff recommended that the Board issue an Order approving the Stipulation of the Parties. Staff also recommended that the Board direct ACE to file tariffs consistent with the Board's Order by.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

C. Docket No. ER20110694 – In the Matter of the Petition of Atlantic City Electric Company for Approval of Electric Base Rate Adjustments Pursuant to its Infrastructure Investment Program (11/2020).

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On November 2, 2020, Atlantic City Electric Company (ACE or Company) filed a petition with the Board seeking review and approval of cost recovery associated with the Company’s Infrastructure Investment Program (IIP or Program) through December 31, 2020 (November 2020 Petition).

The November 2020 Petition sought approval to recover a revenue requirement of \$1,977,768.00 related to IIP through December 31, 2020. The November 2020 Petition was based upon actual costs through September 30, 2020 of approximately \$9.42 million, and projected program expenditures from October 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020 of approximately \$5.88 million, for a total projected investment of \$15.30 million for the roll in period.

On January 21, 2021, the Company provided an update to the November 2020 Petition to include actual Program expenditures through December 31, 2020 (January Update). The January Update reflected an increase in the proposed revenue requirement to \$2,312,768.00, with approximately \$17.78 million of IIP investments placed in service.

Following a review of the November 2020 Petition, the January Update, and discovery responses, ACE, the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, and Board Staff (collectively, the Parties) executed a stipulation of settlement (Stipulation) that would allow the Company to recover an electric revenue requirement of \$2.31 million.

Staff recommended that the Board issue an Order adopting the Stipulation of the Parties. In addition, Staff also recommended that the Board direct ACE to file tariff sheets consistent with its Order by April 1, 2021.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

D. Docket No. GR20070502 – In the Matter of the Petition of Elizabethtown Gas Company to Revise the Remediation Adjustment Clause Component of its Societal Benefits Charge Rate.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On July 31, 2020, Elizabethtown Gas Company (Elizabethtown or Company) filed a petition with the Board seeking review and approval of the Company’s Manufactured Gas Plant Remediation Adjustment Clause (RAC) activities and net Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) costs incurred between July 1, 2019 and June 30, 2020 (2020 RAC Period) (2020 RAC Petition).

The Company’s 2020 RAC Petition sought recovery of RAC related costs for former MGP sites incurred during the period July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2020, which is based on a seven year cost amortization, in addition to specific adjustments and prior period true-up amounts. The total 2020 RAC Period costs totaled \$2,280,307.00, from which \$6,815,338.00 was deducted for third party recoveries, and \$14,822.00 was deducted for the deferral of 50% of insurance litigation costs. The proposed net remediation cost of (\$4,549,853.00) would be recovered over the seven-year amortization period.

The Company proposed to decrease the per therm Societal Benefits Charge (SBC)-RAC rate from \$0.0149 to \$0.0082 effective October 1, 2020. The proposed rate was designed to recover \$3,854,744 in RAC related costs over a 12 month period.

Following a review of discovery, the Company, the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel and Board Staff (collectively, the Parties) reached an agreement on the 2020 RAC Petition, and on February 4, 2021 executed a stipulation of settlement (Stipulation). The Stipulation would allow Elizabethtown to implement a revised per therm SBC-RAC rate of \$0.0082.

Staff recommended that the Board approve the Stipulation of the Parties. Staff also recommended that the Board direct Elizabethtown to file revised tariff sheets prior to April 1, 2021.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

E. Docket No. GR20060382 – In the Matter of the Petition of South Jersey Gas Company for Approval to Revise the Rider “H” Rate Associated with the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On June 1, 2020, South Jersey Gas Company (SJG or Company) filed a petition with the Board seeking approval to modify its Rider H rate (June 2020 Petition). In the June 2020 Petition, SJG proposed to implement a Rider H credit rate of \$0.029906 per therm for the period October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021. The proposed credit rate would result in an increase in the Company’s current

credit rate (larger credit). The June 2020 Petition was based upon actual information through April 30, 2020, and projected information through September 30, 2021.

By Order dated September 23, 2020, the Board authorized SJG to implement a per therm Rider H credit rate of \$0.029906 on a provisional basis, subject to refund. As a result of the September 2020 Provisional Order, a typical residential heating customer utilizing 100 therms of gas in a winter month would see a decrease of \$0.97, or approximately 0.7%. However, based on the Board Order in the Company's base rate case in Docket No. GR20030243, the Company set forth in its compliance filing a per therm Rider H credit rate to \$0.031192. As a result of the September 2020 Provisional Order and the 2020 Base Rate Case Order, the anticipated bill impact on a typical residential customer was a decrease of \$1.09 or 0.8%.

Following a review of the June 2020 Petition and discovery responses, the Company, New Jersey Division of Rate Council, and Board Staff (collectively, the Parties) executed a stipulation of settlement (Stipulation) which seeks to finalize the rates approved provisionally in the September 2020 Provisional Order.

Staff recommended that the Board issue an Order adopting the Stipulation of the Parties. Staff also recommended that the Board direct SJG to file tariffs consistent with the Board's Order prior to April 1, 2021.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

3. CABLE TELEVISION

There were no items in this category.

4. TELECOMMUNICATIONS

Lawanda Gilbert, Director, Office of Cable Television and Telecommunications, presented this matter.

A. Docket No. TO20050360 – In the Matter of the Petition of Verizon New Jersey, Inc. to Delete Certain Measurements and Standards from the New Jersey Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines and the New Jersey Incentive Plan.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On May 18, 2020, Verizon filed a petition with the Board seeking the removal of five billing Metrics contained in the New Jersey Carrier-to-Carrier (C2C) Guidelines and the Incentive Plan (IP). Specifically, Verizon requested the removal of the following billing Metrics

1. BI-4-01 – Daily Usage Feed Accuracy - this measurement captures the accuracy of the usage records transmitted from Verizon to the CLEC on the Daily Usage Feed (DUF);
2. BI-5-01 – Accuracy of Mechanized Bill Feed - this measurement captures the accuracy of the mechanized bill feed for Customer Records and Information System bills;
3. BI-6-01 – Completeness of Usage Charges - this measure captures the completeness of Verizon usage charges and Verizon usage billing errors that are itemized by date on the carrier bill of record;
4. BI-7-01 – Completeness of Fractional Recurring Charges - this measurement captures the completeness of Verizon fractional recurring charges shown on the carrier bill of record; and
5. BI-8-01 – Non-Recurring Charge Completeness - this measurement captures the completeness of Verizon non-recurring charges shown on the carrier bill of record.

Staff did not believe that it appropriate to delete them at this time; however, Staff agreed with Verizon’s recommendation in its reply comments and will eliminate the performance standards of Metrics BI-6-01, BI-7-01 and BI-8-01.

Verizon and the Board will initiate a process (with the collaboration of Verizon, CLECs and other interested parties) to adjust the three Metrics: BI-6-01, BI-7-01 and BI-8-01 and standards to achieve the purpose and goals intended under the C2C and NJIP.

Staff recommended the Board approve the removal of: BI-4-01 – DUF Accuracy and BI-5-01 – Accuracy of Mechanized Bill Feed contained in the C2C Guidelines and the IP.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

5. WATER

A. Docket Nos. BPU WR20110722 and OAL PUC 10953-2020S – In the Matter of the Petition of Middlesex Water Company for Approval to Change the Levels of its Purchased Water Adjustment Clause Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 14:9-7.1, et seq.

Michael Kammer, Director, Division of Water, presented this matter.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: This matter involved the Board considering an Initial Decision regarding Middlesex Water Company's (MWC) Purchased Water Adjustment Clause (PWAC).

On November 19, 2020, Middlesex Water Company (Company or Middlesex), filed a petition with the Board seeking Board approval of an increase of its PWAC to recover increased purchased water costs together with deferred costs and associated expenses.

On November 23, 2020, this matter was transferred to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) and was assigned to Administrative Law Judge Jacob S. Gertsman (ALJ Gertsman). A prehearing conference was convened via telephone by ALJ Gertsman on January 12, 2021, and a Prehearing Order was issued on January 12, 2021. No members of the public appeared or provided comments on the proposed PWAC filing.

Subsequent to the public hearing, the Company, the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel (Rate Counsel), and Board Staff (collectively, the Parties), engaged in settlement negotiations. As a result of these discussions and extensive discovery, the Parties reached a stipulation of settlement (Stipulation) on all issues, which if adopted by the Board, will increase MWC's PWAC from \$0.6024 per thousand cubic feet of water per quarter to \$1.0280 per thousand cubic feet of water per quarter. The bill for a residential customer, with a 5/8" meter, using 17,204 cubic feet of water per quarter will increase from \$154.27 per quarter to \$155.24 per quarter, an increase of \$0.97 per quarter, which is an increase of 0.63%.

ALJ issued an Initial Decision recommending that the Board adopt the Stipulation. Staff recommended that the Board adopt the Initial Decision.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

6. RELIABILITY AND SECURITY

There were no items in this category.

7. CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE

A. Docket Nos. BPU WC19101325U and OAL PUC 15778-19 – In the Matter of Sandeep Katyal, Petitioner v. Middlesex Water Company, Respondent – Billing Dispute.

Julie Ford-Williams, Director, Division of Customer Assistance, presented this matter.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: This matter involved a billing dispute between Sandeep Katyal (Petitioner) and Middlesex Water Company (Middlesex or Company). The Petitioner alleged that Middlesex incorrectly billed his account in the amount of \$1,994.61, and requested that the Board provide assistance in resolving this matter with the Company.

Middlesex, in its answer dated October 14, 2019, contended the Petitioner failed to meet his burden of providing credible evidence of the inaccuracy of the amounts billed to him by the Company as reflected in the February-May 2019 and May-August 2019 bills. Middlesex further contended that an on-site inspection and meter test performed in the Petitioner presence, demonstrated that the amounts billed were accurate. The Company requested that the relief sought by the Petitioner be denied on the basis that he failed to meet his evidentiary burden.

The petition was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) for a hearing as a contested case. Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Judith Lieberman filed an Initial Decision in this matter with the Board on February 9, 2021. No exceptions to the Initial Decision have been received by the Board.

ALJ Lieberman in her Initial Decision, noted that the meter that was located at the property was tested, and the test indicated that the meter did not exceed the acceptable limits of accuracy because the test outputs fell within one and one-half deviation from absolute accuracy. ALJ Lieberman concluded that Middlesex followed proper procedures; inspected the property and found no leaks; and the results of the meter test demonstrated that the meter was functioning properly. Accordingly, ALJ Lieberman concluded that the Petitioner failed to provide any competent evidence demonstrating a genuine issue of material fact, which precludes summary decision in favor of Middlesex, therefore ALJ Lieberman ruled that all relief sought by the Petitioner be denied and the petition be dismissed.

The Board, at its discretion, has the option of accepting, modifying or rejecting the Initial Decision of ALJ Lieberman. Staff recommended the Board adopt the Initial Decision.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

8. CLEAN ENERGY

Benjamin Goldstein, Program Specialist, Division of Clean Energy, presented these matters.

A. Docket No. QO20110727 – In the Matter of the Clean Energy Program Authorization of Commercial and Industrial Program Energy Efficiency Incentives Exceeding \$500,000.00 – Verizon New Jersey, Inc.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: This matter involved the Board considering a request by Verizon New Jersey Inc. (Verizon or Company) for an incentive amount of \$1,299,460.83 through the Large Energy Users Program. The incentive would help fund the installation of energy conservation measures at 30 different Verizon locations across New Jersey.

On September 6, 2019, Verizon submitted an application under the Fiscal Year 2020 (FY20) Large Energy Users Program pursuant to the Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program Plan Filing for FY20 dated June 20, 2019. The project is proposed to cover; 30 different locations across New Jersey. The Company requests a total financial incentive of \$1,299,460.83 for a project that will cost \$2,303,481.94.

Verizon proposes to upgrade the lighting at 30 of their telephone network facilities located in New Jersey. The buildings currently have a mix of CFL, incandescent, metal halide, and linear fluorescent light fixtures and lamps. The existing lighting will be removed and replaced entirely with LED fixtures and lamps.

Annually, the project would conserve 3,937,760 kWh of electricity and reduce peak demand by an anticipated 827.28 kW. The proposed project would result in estimated annual energy cost savings of \$457,186.05, as well as operational and maintenance savings of \$28,123.90. The payback period without incentives is 4.75 years; when factoring in the incentives, the payback period is reduced to 2.07 years.

Staff recommended approval of the application for the total estimated incentive amount of \$1,299,460.83.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

B. Docket No. QO21020114 – In the Matter of the Clean Energy Program Authorization of Commercial and Industrial Program Energy Efficiency Incentives Exceeding \$500,000.00 – West Windsor-Plainsboro High School North.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: This matter involved the Board considering a request by West Windsor-Plainsboro Board of Education (the WWP BOE) for an incentive amount of \$762,415.65 through the Pay For Performance – Existing Buildings (P4P-EB) Program. The incentive would help fund the installation of energy conservation measures at West Windsor-Plainsboro’s High School North in Plainsboro, New Jersey.

On January 10, 2020, the WWP BOE submitted an application to the Board under the Fiscal Year 2020 (FY20) P4P-EB Program pursuant to the New Jersey Clean Energy Program (NJCEP) Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program Plan Filing for Fiscal Year 2020. The project is located at the West Windsor-Plainsboro High School North, 90 Grovers Mill Road, Plainsboro, New Jersey. The WWP BOE requested a total financial incentive of \$762,415.65 for a project that will cost \$10,261,111.81.

The proposed energy conservation measures (ECMs) are comprehensive and incorporate several energy efficient technologies. The school’s existing boiler and absorption chillers will be replaced with several new, highly efficient models with variable speed pumps. New rooftop units will also be installed, and these units will be scheduled to run at different speeds during occupied and unoccupied hours. High efficiency transformers will be installed as well, as will energy recovery heating, ventilation and air conditioning units that will also be optimized to run based on building occupancy. Finally, the school’s interior and exterior lighting will be retrofitted with LEDs and occupancy sensors.

Annually, this project is anticipated to conserve 458,355 kWh of electricity and 107,355 therms of natural gas. The proposed project had an estimated annual energy cost savings of \$147,591.00. The payback period without incentives is 69.50 years; when factoring in the incentives, the payback period is reduced to 64.25 years.

While the payback period here is longer than it is typical for applications that Staff recommends for approval, Staff believed that the project is still worthwhile and should be approved, most notably because the project’s ECMs are part of a larger Energy Savings Improvement Program (ESIP) application. Government facilities such as K-12 public schools may pursue large-scale capital improvement projects through ESIP that are paid for by the value of the project’s future energy savings. The scope of the ESIP project must result in a positive cash flow and meet payback requirements of no more than 15 years for most projects or no more than 20 years for projects with a combined heat and power component.

While this particular energy efficiency application shows an unusually lengthy payback period due to the high cost of some of the proposed measures, the larger ESIP project itself will result in a positive overall cash flow to the WWP BOE over the 20-year payback period, while also resulting in significant energy savings.

Staff recommended approval of the application for the total estimated incentive amount of \$762,415.65.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

C. Docket No. QO21020092 – In the Matter of the Clean Energy Program Authorization of Commercial and Industrial Program Energy Efficiency Incentives Exceeding \$500,000.00 – West Windsor-Plainsboro High School South.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On January 10, 2020, West Windsor-Plainsboro Board of Education (WWP BOE), submitted an application under the Fiscal Year 2020 (FY20) P4P – EB Program pursuant to the Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program Plan Filing for FY20 under the Pay for Performance – Existing Buildings Program requesting a financial incentive of \$799,429.91 to install energy conservation measures (ECMs). The project is located at West Windsor-Plainsboro High School South, 346 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, New Jersey. The proposed project has a total cost of \$12,282,061.22.

The West Windsor-Plainsboro Board of Education submitted an application under the Pay for Performance – Existing Buildings Program requesting Board approval of a financial incentive of \$799,429.91 to install energy conservation measures (ECMs) at the West Windsor-Plainsboro High School South in Princeton Junction, NJ. The proposed project has a total cost of \$12,282,061.22.

The proposed ECMs are comprehensive and run the gamut of energy efficient technologies. The WWP BOE aims to install new high efficiency air-cooled chillers and condensing boiler, as well as new, highly efficient air handling units and transformers. The application also includes new heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) motors with variable frequency drives (VFDs) and HVAC controls to optimize heating and cooling efficiency, with hot and cold-water pumps being retrofitted with VFDs as well. Additionally, the school's lighting will be retrofitted with interior and exterior LEDs, and the interior lights will have controls as well. Finally, a building management system would be installed to give school staff even greater control over the school's energy usage, linking the new HVAC and lighting controls in one place for maximum efficiency.

Annually, this project is anticipated to conserve 1,749,549 kWh of electricity and 1,783 therms of natural gas. The project will also reduce peak demand by an anticipated 464 kW per year. The proposed project has an estimated annual energy cost savings of \$206,952.00. The payback period without incentives is 59 years; when factoring in the incentives, the payback period is reduced to 55 years.

While the payback period for this project is longer than it is typical for applications that Staff recommends for approval. While this particular energy efficiency application shows an unusually lengthy payback period due to the high cost of some of the proposed measures, the larger Energy Savings Improvement Program project itself will result in a positive overall cash flow to the WWP BOE over the 20-year payback period,

while also resulting in significant energy savings. Therefore, Staff recommended approval of the application for the total estimated incentive amount of \$799,429.91.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

D. Docket No. QO21020093 – In the Matter of the Clean Energy Program Authorization of Commercial and Industrial Program Energy Efficiency Incentives Exceeding \$500,000.00 – Merck Sharp and Dohme Corporation.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: President Joseph Fiordaliso and Commissioner Robert Gordon recused themselves and did not participate in or vote on this matter. On October 18, 2019, Merck Sharp and Dohme Corporation (Merck) in Rahway, New Jersey, submitted an application under the Fiscal Year 2020 (FY20) Large Energy Users Program, pursuant to the Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program Plan Filing for FY20. The project is planned at two locations in Rahway: Building 800, 800 Underhill Place, Rahway, New Jersey and Building 75G, 126 East Lincoln Avenue, Rahway, New Jersey. Merck requested a total financial incentive of \$1,421,988.06 to install energy conservation measures (ECMs) as part of a project that will cost \$2,894,834.00.

If approved, this application would cover ECMs at two different Merck buildings in Rahway: Building 800 and Building 75G. At Building 800, the existing fume hoods in 20 different labs have additional sensors installed further reduce exhaust rate to a lower level when researchers are not present near the fume hood. This will ensure the fume hoods use less conditioned air while maintaining safety requirements. Additionally, variable frequency drives (VFDs) will be installed on laboratory exhaust fans to match fan operation with ventilation need. Sensors will also be added to the exhaust system to sample exhaust air quality and ensure energy usage is optimized. Finally, numerous HVAC controls will be installed to allow for greater control over the system's supply temperatures and operations, leading to more efficient heating, cooling, airflow management, and hot water heating throughout the building. At Building 75G VFDs will be installed on existing boilers to allow the fans speed to match the system load, and the existing motors will be replaced with VFD-compatible upgrades.

On an annual basis, this project would conserve 5,173,161 kWh of electricity and 122,339 therms of natural gas. It would also reduce peak demand by an anticipated 630.4 kW per year. The proposed project has an estimated annual energy cost savings of \$541,731.62, as well as an estimated annual operational and maintenance savings of \$7,023.00. Without incentives, the payback period is 5.28 years; when factoring in the incentives, the payback period is reduced to 2.68 years.

Staff recommended approval of the application for the total estimated incentive amount \$1,421,988.06.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	recused
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	recused

E. Docket No. QO20080539 – In the Matter of the Clean Energy Programs and Budgets for Fiscal Year 2021 – True-up, Revised Budgets and Program Changes.

Kelly Mooij, Director, Office of Clean Energy, presented this matter.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: This matter involved the proposed Fiscal Year 2021 budget true-up, budget reallocations, and program changes to the New Jersey’s Clean Energy Program.

The Fiscal Year 2021 Clean Energy Programs and Budgets were approved by the Board on September 23, 2020. The budget was established in part based upon an estimate of expenses expected to be incurred during Fiscal Year 2020.

Once actual expenses have become known on an annual basis, the Board typically approves a true-up budget reconciling the differences between expenses estimated for the budgetary purposes and the expenses actually incurred.

Based upon the actual expenditures, which were lower than projected, and additional accrued interest and other revenues, there is an additional \$31,166,975.00 available for the New Jersey Clean Energy Program. Additionally, Staff proposed reallocating \$24,153,622.00 based on a current review of program forecast and trends through the remainder of the fiscal year. Staff also proposed several programmatic changes to those which were originally approved for FY21.

On February 23, 2021, Staff released a proposal for the draft true-up budget, revised budgets and program revisions. Staff provided an informational summary of the proposed true-up budget, budget reallocations and program changes via a webinar on March 4, 2021. Public comments were originally due on March 9, 2021, but the comment period was extended to March 11, 2021.

Of the \$31.1 million from FY20, Staff recommended the available funds be distributed as follows:

- \$15.4 million to State Energy Initiatives;
- \$7.6 million dollars to Commercial and Industrial Energy Efficiency Programs;
- \$6 million towards Offshore Wind; and
- \$2 million to Electric Vehicles.

In addition to the distribution of the available funds, Staff proposed reallocating \$24 million dollars among programs to align budgets with program performance. Of that amount, Staff recommended the following increases:

- \$2.5 million dollars towards the Residential Retrofit Program;
- An additional \$11.1 million for Commercial and Industrial Energy Efficiency Programs;
- In addition to the \$6 million proposed for Offshore Wind, Staff recommended another \$9.2 million be reallocated to this program;
- \$275,000.00 towards Memberships managed by the Board of Public utilities; and
- And moving \$1 million from the Electric Vehicle administration budget to the incentive budget.

In consideration to timing and reviewing budget trends as well as rebalancing funds where needed, Staff proposed the following reductions:

- \$1.5 million from the Residential New Construction Program;
- \$2.1 million from the Energy Efficiency Products Program;
- \$500,000 from the Local Government Energy Audit Program;
- \$8 million from the Direct Install Program;
- \$1.2 million from the Combined Heat and Power and Fuel Cell Program;
- \$698,956 from the Microgrid Program;
- \$1.5 million from the Evaluation budget;
- \$535,000 from the Outreach budget managed by TRC;
- \$7 million from Storage; and
- \$1 million from the Electric Vehicle administration budget.

Staff proposed a reallocation within the Comfort Partners Program budget amongst the previously approved allocations to each utility. The total budget for the Comfort Partners program will remain unchanged. The reallocation is being proposed as a result of the fluctuation of program demand in response to current participation rates.

Along with these recommendations, Staff updated the Detailed Budgets, accordingly. Staff also recommended the following program changes:

- To the Large Energy Users Program – Staff proposed adding a requirement capping overall total energy savings for lighting at 50%.
- To the Combined Heat and Power-Fuel Cells Program – Staff recommended re-defining the categories of Fuel Cells from FCs with Heat Recovery versus FCs without Heat Recovery to efficiency levels $\geq 60\%$ FCs versus $\geq 40\%$ FCs.
- Also, to the Combined Heat and Power and Fuel Cells Program – Staff proposed adding flexibility to the time periods for which COVID-19-impacted participants must collect operating data in order to qualify for Incentive #3.
- To the Comfort Partners Program – Staff recommended a location-based pilot program that would grant automatic eligibility in six low-income neighborhoods, equitably distributed geographically throughout the state.

Finally, with these revisions, Staff circulated the updated Electric Vehicle Compliance filing which reflects previously approved Board changes to the program. Staff recommended the Board adopt and approve the proposed FY21 budget true-up, budget reallocations, and program changes to the New Jersey Clean Energy Program.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

F. Docket No. QO20020109 – In the Matter of the United States Department of Energy – State Energy Program – July 1, 2020 - June 30, 2020; and

Docket No. QO21020626 – In the Matter of the United States Department of Energy – State Energy Program – July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022.

Stacy Ho Richardson, Esq., Deputy Director, Division of Clean Energy, presented this matter.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: This matter involved Staff preparing to submit the 2021–2022 State Energy Program application to the US Department of Energy. In the application, the Board would propose to continue the development and implementation of a series of programs at the same funding levels as last year.

The US Department of Energy (USDOE) provides federal financial assistance and technical support to states for energy programs through the State Energy Program (SEP). To be eligible for financial assistance, a state must submit an annual application to the USDOE, executed by the Governor or his/her designee.

Using a formula designed by the USDOE, New Jersey is allocated federal monies each year in support of its USDOE-approved SEP. New Jersey's 2011–2022 SEP allocation is \$1,474,770.00. New Jersey is required to match this amount either in cash, through in-kind contributions, or both, in an amount totaling not less than 20% of the federal allocation. The required match of 20%, or \$294,954.00, will come from Clean Energy Program administrative costs associated with implementing the Plan, including Staff salaries associated with management of the Plan.

New Jersey will carry over \$1,399,516.66 in federal funds and \$279,903.33 in state match funds. The total budget for Program Year 2021–2022, including carry over, is \$2,874,286.66 in federal funds and \$574,857.33 in state match funds.

The programs that will be continued in the 2021 - 2022 SEP Program are as follows:

- Incorporating health and safety measures into energy efficiency upgrades, which we plan to do through implementation of a Whole House Pilot Program which will start later this year;
- Developing a decarbonization roadmap to transition the building sector away from fossil fuels in a way that considers best practices, best available technologies, and affordability concerns;

- Undertaking a state energy and water benchmarking analysis and developing a roadmap to implement the Clean Energy Act's benchmarking requirement, which may include options to establish requirements, track and enforce compliance, and support the development of tools and systems for customers to easily access their consumption data from energy and water utilities;
- Extending energy efficiency offerings to residential and commercial customers who do not pay into the Societal Benefits Charge and therefore do not otherwise qualify for State-funded energy efficiency programs; and
- Supporting Staff to participate in relevant training programs and conferences.

Submitting this year's SEP application and obtaining acceptance for it from the USDOE will enable the Board to continue its work in these important areas of integrating energy and health through a whole house approach, decarbonization of the building sector, and benchmarking energy and water use.

Staff recommended Board's approval to submit this year's SEP application.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

G. Docket No. QO17091011 – In the Matter of the Approval of Contract Between Rutgers University, Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences and the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, Office of Clean Energy – Executive Session.

Dr. Kira Lawrence, Eagleton Science Fellow with the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, Division of Clean Energy, presented this matter.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: This matter was first discussed in Executive Session. Since 2017, through support from the Board, the Rutgers Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences (DMCS) at Rutgers University has been conducting atmospheric research to evaluate offshore wind resources. The Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences, through the Rutgers University Center for Ocean Observing Leadership (RU-COOL) has developed models capable of estimating and forecasting wind speeds off of New Jersey's coastline. Additionally, through its previous contracts with the Board, RU COOL has developed a coastal monitoring station to better understand New Jersey's wind resource and has engaged stakeholders in critical issue related to offshore wind development.

The original contract with Rutgers Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences in 2017 has been amended each year rather than issuing a new contract. Board Staff recommended that this approach continue. Before you is a proposed contract amendment between the Board and the Rutgers University DMCS for the period from April 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022. This contract term extends for 15 months due to the desire to transition to

a fiscal year contract. The current contract was extended for three months from January 1, 2021 to March 31, 2021.

The contract amendment before you would provide funding for staffing, expertise, and resources necessary to carry RU COOL's previous efforts forward and expand their scope. Activities for 2021-2022 would include continued support for the Rutgers University Weather Research and Forecasting atmospheric modeling and the coastal monitoring station work, an expansion of stakeholder engagement efforts, and the addition of a new ocean modeling element that will explore the interactions between offshore wind and the cold pool of the Mid-Atlantic Bight.

Staff recommend that the Board approve the 2021-2022 contract amendment between Rutgers University Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences and the Board.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

H. Docket No. QO18121289 – In the Matter of the Board of Public Utilities Offshore Wind Solicitation for 1,100 MW – Evaluation of the Offshore Wind Applications; and

Docket No. QO21030665 – In the Matter of PSEG Renewable Generation, LLC and Ocean Wind, LLC – Request Approval of Equity Interest in the Ocean Wind Qualified Offshore Wind Project.

James Ferris, Bureau Chief of New Technology, Division of Clean Energy, presented this matter.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On January 19, 2021, Ocean Wind, LLC (Ocean Wind) and PSEG Renewable Generation LLC (PSEG Renewable or Company) filed a joint petition with the Board seeking approval of PSEG Renewable's acquisition of a 25% equity interest in the Ocean Wind 1,100 MW Qualified Offshore Wind Project.

As part of its initial application (Application) to the Board in the first offshore wind solicitation, Ocean Wind stated that there was a Memorandum of Understanding between Ørsted North America, Inc. the owner of Ocean Wind, LLC and PSEG Renewable by which PSEG Renewable had an option to acquire an equity interest in the proposed project. This petition formally requested the Board's approval of that equity acquisition.

The PSEG Renewable asserted that it will lead the development, permitting and construction of the onshore portion of the Project. The PSEG also asserted that the Company will be responsible for scheduling and dispatch of the Project's energy output once in operation.

Staff believed that the addition of PSEG Renewable as an equity investor in the Project brings significant experience and knowledge of New Jersey energy infrastructure to the Project team that will help mitigate schedule risk and cost risk to New Jersey ratepayers. Staff also believed that the addition of PSEG Renewables to the Project team will increase the Project's access to energy marketing and hedging, which is important to optimizing the revenues that are credited back to ratepayers. Staff also noted that the Petition expressly commits to following all applicable affiliate rules, which is critical to ensuring a level, competitive offshore wind industry.

Staff recommended that the Board approve the Petition for PSEG Renewable to acquire a 25% equity interest in the Ocean Wind Project, subject to all applicable orders, rules and laws.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

I. Docket No. QO20110700 – In the Matter of the Contract for a Statewide Evaluator of New Jersey's Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs.

Stacy Ho Richardson, Esq., Deputy Director, Division of Clean Energy, presented this matter.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: This matter involved awarding a contract to a consultant to serve as a statewide evaluator and oversee the evaluation, measurement, and verification (EM&V) of New Jersey's next generation of energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs.

On December 16, 2020, the Board authorized Staff to issue a Request for Quotations (RFQ) to solicit the professional services of a statewide evaluator to facilitate and lead the Board's Energy Efficiency EM&V Working Group.

The statewide evaluator will lead the development of an approach to EM&V – including guidelines, methods, inputs, and schedules – that is robust, accurate, transparent, consistent, and replicable statewide. The statewide evaluator will ensure that this approach is applied to all State and utility-administered energy efficiency programs and used to continually improve the design and delivery of energy efficiency programs in the state.

Staff released the RFQ to 14 entities on January 6, 2021, and posted addenda to the RFQ on January 14 and February 3 that provided answers to questions by potential respondents.

The following firms submitted RFQ responses by the deadline of February 25:

- Johnson Consulting Group (Johnson) in association with:
- Energy Infrastructure Partners;
- Mesa Point Energy;
- Michaels Energy, and
- Warren Energy;

- Skumatz Economic Research Associates, Inc. (SERA) in association with:
- Ralph Prah, Independent Consultant;
- Robert Wirtshafter, Wirtshafter Associates, Inc.;
- Peter Jacobs, Building Metrics, LLC;
- Dakers Gowans, Left Fork Energy, Inc.;
- Jane S. Peters Advisors; and
- Michael Rufo, Independent Consultant; and

- Tierra Resource Consultants, LLC (Tierra) in association with:
- Loper Energy, LLC; and
- Skytop Consulting, LLC.

The evaluation committee comprising of members from the Board's Division of Clean Energy, General Counsel's Office, and Division of Budget and Finance, utilized the Board's standard internal review process for awarding outside contracts. The Committee recommended that the Board select SERA as the statewide evaluator of energy efficiency programs in New Jersey.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

J. Docket No QO19010040 – In the Matter of the Implementation of L. 2018, c. 17 Regarding the Establishment of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs; and

Docket No. GO20090618 – In the Matter of the Petition of South Jersey Gas Company for Approval of New Energy Efficiency Programs and Associated Cost Recovery Pursuant to the Clean Energy Act.

This matter was deferred.

K. Docket No QO19010040 – In the Matter of the Implementation of L. 2018, c. 17 Regarding the Establishment of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs; and

Docket No. GO20090619 – In the Matter of the Petition of Elizabethtown Gas Company for Approval of New Energy Efficiency Programs and Associated Cost Recovery Pursuant to the Clean Energy Act and the Establishment of a Conservation Incentive Program.

This matter was deferred.

L. Docket No. QO18060646 – In the Matter of the Community Solar Energy Pilot Program; and

Docket No. QO20080556 – In the Matter of the Community Solar Energy Pilot Program Year 2 Application Form and Process – Consolidated Billing EDC Report – Request for Extension of Time.

Ariane Benrey, Program Administrator, Office of Clean Energy, presented this matter.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On October 2, 2020, the Board approved the Program Year 2 Application Form and Process. In that Order, the Board directed the Electric Distribution Companies (EDCs) to work with Staff to develop options to implement consolidated billing for community solar, including consideration of what is currently done by other state affiliates. The Board ordered the EDCs to present actionable recommendations for consolidated billing implementation in a report to the Board, no later than February 26, 2021.

On January 7, 2021, in response to a Motion for Reconsideration of the October 2, 2020 Order, the Board clarified its directive to the EDCs, specifying that the EDCs should work with Staff and ensure that the report consider multiple options for the implementation of consolidated billing and incorporate a robust stakeholder process.

On February 22, 2021, the EDCs submitted a joint letter to the Board Secretary containing an update on the consolidated billing report and a request for an extension of time to submit the report. The letter states that the EDCs have been working diligently with Board Staff to draft a Stakeholder Notice, which includes stakeholder questions, seeking stakeholder input on community solar consolidated billing, but that more time will be required to prepare a meaningful report. Accordingly, the EDCs collectively requested that the February 26, 2021 deadline for submission of the Report be extended to May 28, 2021 in order to provide adequate time for stakeholder input and for the preparation of a detailed and informative report on consolidated billing.

Staff and the EDCs jointly issued a Stakeholder Meeting Notice and Request for Comments on March 11, 2021. A stakeholder meeting on the topic of consolidated billing for community solar is scheduled to take place on March 25, 2021, and written comments are due on April 9, 2021. In light of the EDCs' and Staff's ongoing efforts and the complexity of the issue, Staff recommended that the Board grant the requested extension of time for completion of the report to May 28, 2021.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

Ronald Jackson, Research Scientist, Division of Clean Energy, presented these matters.

M. Docket No. QO20120739 – In the Matter of the Petition of Virginia M. Brown to Review the Transfer of the SREC Registration to the Transition Incentive Program.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On November 21, 2020, Virginia Brown (Petitioner) filed a petition requesting the Board deem her solar facility eligible for the legacy Solar Renewable Energy Certificate (SREC) Registration Program (SRP).

The Clean Energy Act of 2018 mandated the Board close the SREC market to new entrants upon the state's attainment of 5.1% of retail electricity sales from solar electricity generation. By Order dated April 6, 2020, the Board determined that the State would reach this milestone before May 1, 2020, and directed the closure of the SRP effective on April 30, 2020, on which date the SRP would be closed to new registrations. Projects in the SRP pipeline that had not commenced commercial operations by April 30, 2020, or failed to submit their post-construction certification package in a timely manner, would be not be SREC eligible and would instead become eligible for the Transition Incentive Program.

By Order dated April 27, 2020, due to Covid-19 and the Governor's Executive Orders in response to the pandemic, the Board waived certain of its rules to allow Board Staff and the SRP Administrator flexibility in determining when projects would have commenced commercial operations for purposes of establishing SREC eligibility. Specifically, in order to accommodate projects that would have achieved commercial operations by the April 30, 2020 deadline, but for the pandemic's effect on local code inspection and Electric Distribution Companies (EDC) "Permission to Operate" (PTO), the Board approved a narrow and time-limited waiver of the deadline for this SREC eligibility requirement.

- To obtain this relief (PTO Waiver), registrants were required to submit the following to TRC, the Board's SRP Administrator, by July 30, 2020:
 - An affidavit from the project owner that the failure to obtain PTO was attributable to COVID-related closures;
 - An affidavit signed by a person with direct personal knowledge stating that the project was complete but for final inspections or final permission to interconnect to the grid prior to April 30, 2020;
 - Date-stamped pictures of the array, inverter and balance of system;
 - Date-stamped evidence that project representatives attempted to communicate with local code officials or, if the project has already passed local code inspections,

evidence that the application to energize (Part II of the interconnection application) was submitted to the EDC;

- An executed milestone report form that reflects the status of the project, including the date the request for inspection was filed with the municipal officials, date of receipt of inspection approval, and date of submission of application to with the EDC; and
- Such other evidence as the Board's Staff and/or SRP Administrator may request.

Although the Petitioner contacted TRC several times by email and telephone, she failed to follow the direction and timelines provided by the Board for projects whose final inspections and PTO were delayed by COVID-19 and the State of Emergency. The Petitioner's explanation as to why she believes that the public health emergency prevented the receipt of a PTO for the System prior to the cutoff date of April 30, 2020, but she does not explain her failure to use the PTO Waiver process established by the Board and never filed a waiver petition with the SRP Administrator.

Over 1200 PTO waiver requests were processed by TRC with 26 of the waiver requests denied and over 400 registrants that requested waivers but were unable to meet the five requirements established by the Board and ultimately agreeing to accept registration in the TI program. Granting the Petitioner's request for leniency with respect to the requirements of the April 27 Order would be unfair to these 450 registrants that were also unable to comply. Staff recommended that the Board deny this petition.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

N. In the Matter of the Petition(s) of Vision Solar, LLC to Review the Transfer of the SREC Registration to the Transition Incentive Program.

- **Docket No. QO21010005 – Kyle Sywanycz – NJSRRE1544523888**
- **Docket No. QO21010006 – Lawrence Ladutke – NJSRRE1544113143**
- **Docket No. QO21010007 – Kevin Devine – NJSRRE1544441311**
- **Docket No. QO21010022 – Lemnis Kirchmeier – NJSRRE1544501668**
- **Docket No. QO21010023 – Bernadette Dinitnger – NJSRRE1544543171**
- **Docket No. QO21010024 – Leonardo Lopez – NJSRRE1544501658**
- **Docket No. QO21010025 – Audrey Shanks – NJSRRE1544519753**
- **Docket No. QO21010026 – John Spears – NJSRRE1544521931**
- **Docket No. QO21010027 – Robert Steiner – NJSRRE1543570764**
- **Docket No. QO21010028 – Edwin Strunk – NJSRRE1543919023**
- **Docket No. QO21010029 – Danielle Cullen – NJSRRE1543911612**
- **Docket No. QO21010030 – Tony Moss – NJSRRE1544540635**
- **Docket No. QO21010031 – Anthony Robinson – NJSRRE1544497066**
- **Docket No. QO21010032 – Richard Garrett – NJSRRE1543841148**

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On November 13, 2020, Vision Solar LLC (Vision or Petitioner), a solar installer that participated in the legacy Solar Renewable Energy Certificate (SREC) Registration Program (SRP), submitted petitions for fourteen of its projects seeking waivers of the Board's requirements for maintaining eligibility in the SRP following its closure. All but one of these projects were accepted in the Transition Incentive Program.

The Clean Energy Act of 2018 mandated the Board close the SREC market to new entrants upon the state's attainment of 5.1% of retail electricity sales from solar electricity generation. By Order dated April 6, 2020, the Board determined that the State would reach this milestone before May 1, 2020, and directed the closure of the SRP effective on April 30, 2020, on which date the SRP would be closed to new registrations. Projects in the SRP pipeline that had not commenced commercial operations by April 30, 2020, or failed to submit their post-construction certification package in a timely manner, would not be SREC eligible and would instead become eligible for the Transition Incentive Program.

Specifically, the Board mandated that access to the SRP portal be closed on the 91st day following the Board's determination that the 5.1% Milestone was attained. The Board directed Staff to require developers to complete SRP post-construction certification packages within 90 days of receipt of Permission to Operate (PTO) or of the Board's determination that the 5.1% milestone had been attained, whichever was earlier.

Those projects which did not cure deficiencies in their post-construction certification package in a timely manner were held ineligible for SRECs, regardless of the date of their PTO, and they were advised of the opportunity to register for the Transition Incentive program.

Vision submitted a petition dated November 13, 2020 on behalf of each of the fourteen customers identified above. In each petition, Vision indicates that a PTO was received prior to April 30, 2020; thus, by the terms of the March 27, 2020 Order, each of these systems would be eligible to participate in the SRP provided its post-construction certification package was submitted within 90 days of when its PTO was received.

Vision did not submit the post-construction certification packages by the deadline. According to Vision, the volume of paperwork in conjunction with the need to work remotely was responsible for its failure to timely submit the missing information. Failure to provide "[s]uch a small piece of information," contends the Petitioner, should not operate to exclude these projects from the SRP. In addition, Vision argued that each project was sold to a third-party owner, Vision's partner IGS Solar on the basis of the pricing in the legacy program.

For thirteen of the fourteen petitions, staff suggested the Board urge the developer to complete the Transition Incentive registration process. For the project at the residence of Anthony Robinson, Vision did not submit the required documentation by the deadline established for TI eligibility. Staff therefore suggested the Board encourage the developer to pursue eligibility for the project in the forthcoming Successor Incentive Program.

Staff did not agree that an inaccurate Technical Worksheet is a small piece of information.

The contents of the SRP post-construction certification packages, including the technical worksheet, are required by rule at N.J.A.C. 14:8-2 (k) and (l). Therefore, Staff

recommended that the Board refuse to waive the requirement to submit a complete post-construction certification package to maintain eligibility in the SRP and deny the petition.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

O. Docket No. QO20110695 – In the Matter of the Petition to Review the Transfer of the SREC Registration (NJSRRE1544354872) to the TREC Program (Maksymilan Kawalec).

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On September 23, 2020, Dr. Maksymilan Kawalec (Petitioner) filed a petition with the Board requesting to override the transfer of his solar project into the Transition Incentive program and return it to the legacy Solar Renewable Energy Certification (SREC) Registration Program (SRP).

The New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018 mandated that the Board close the SRP market to new entrants upon the state’s attainment of 5.1% of retail electricity sales from solar electricity generation. By Order dated April 6, 2020, the Board determined that the State would reach this milestone before May 1, 2020, and directed the closure of the SRP effective on April 30, 2020, on which date the SRP would be closed to new registrations. Projects in the SRP pipeline that had not commenced commercial operations by April 30, 2020, or failed to submit their post-construction certification package in a timely manner, would be not be SREC eligible and would instead become eligible for the Transition Incentive Program.

By Order dated April 27, 2020, due to Covid-19 and the Governor’s Executive Orders in response to the pandemic, the Board waived certain of its rules to allow Board Staff and the SRP Administrator flexibility in determining when projects would have commenced commercial operations for purposes of establishing SREC eligibility. Specifically, in order to accommodate projects that would have achieved commercial operations by the April 30, 2020 deadline, but for the pandemic’s effect on local code inspection and the EDC granting “Permission to Operate” (PTO), the Board approved a narrow and time-limited waiver of the deadline for this SREC eligibility requirement.

To obtain this relief (PTO Waiver), registrants were required to submit the following to TRC, the Board’s SRP Administrator, by July 30, 2020:

- An affidavit from the project owner that the failure to obtain PTO was attributable to COVID-related closures;
- An affidavit signed by a person with direct personal knowledge stating that the project was complete but for final inspections or final permission to interconnect to the grid prior to April 30, 2020;
- Date-stamped pictures of the array, inverter and balance of system;

- Date-stamped evidence that project representatives attempted to communicate with local code officials or, if the project has already passed local code inspections, evidence that the application to energize (Part II of the interconnection application) was submitted to the EDC;
- An executed milestone report form that reflects the status of the project, including the date the request for inspection was filed with the municipal officials, date of receipt of inspection approval, and date of submission of application to with the EDC; and
- Such other evidence as the Board's Staff and/or SRP Administrator may request.

In denying the Petitioner's PTO waiver request, TRC pointed to failure to satisfy several of the requirements:

- An affidavit from a personal with direct personal knowledge that the project was all but complete;
- Evidence that the application to energize, Part II of the interconnection application, was submitted to the EDC; and
- An executed milestone report form reflecting the date the request for inspection was filed, the date inspection approval was received, and the date that Part II of the interconnection application was submitted to the EDC.

Staff agreed with TRC's assessment of the deficiencies in the documentation uploaded in support of the PTO Waiver request and believes that these deficiencies are the responsibility of the installer rather than of the Petitioner. However, regardless of who is at fault, the requirements for a PTO Waiver were not satisfied.

Over 1200 PTO waiver requests were processed by TRC with 26 of the waiver requests denied and over 400 registrants that requested waivers but were unable to meet the five requirements established by the Board and ultimately agreeing to accept registration in the TI program. Granting Petitioner's request for leniency with respect to the requirements of the April 27 Order would be unfair to these 450 registrants that were also unable to comply. Staff recommended denial of the petition.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

Kelly Mooij, Director, Office of Clean Energy, presented these matters.

P. Docket No. QO20080539 – In the Matter of the Clean Energy Programs and Budget for Fiscal Year 2021; and

Docket No. QO21030641 – In the Matter of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Between the Economic Development Authority and the Board of Public Utilities.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: This matter involved a memorandum of understanding (MOU) regarding the use of funds generated by the Societal Benefits Charge to support the development of the offshore wind industry through the construction of a marshalling and manufacturing facility, the NJ Wind Port.

The State's Energy Master Plan was released on January 27, 2020 and set a goal of 100 percent clean energy by 2050 while outlining a strategy to expand the Clean Energy Innovation Economy in New Jersey through workforce training, investments in advancing clean energy, and the growth of world-class research and development.

The Energy Master Plan identifies the offshore wind sector to be central to accelerating the development of renewable energy and reinforces New Jersey's commitment to offshore wind. Executive Order No. 92 further expanded New Jersey's commitment by setting a target of 7,500 MW of offshore wind by 2035.

Critical to the development of offshore wind and attainment of 7,500 MW by 2035 is the anchoring and growth of an offshore wind industry, including manufacturing and marshalling, to ensure local supply chain development as well as the efficient delivery of wind turbines to New Jersey lease areas. Not only will this bring tremendous economic benefits to the State through jobs and supporting business development, it is expected to help reduce the cost of meeting our clean energy goals while accelerating the delivery of renewable energy.

The Economic Development Authority (EDA) and the Board share a common interest in promoting the growth of the offshore wind industry, which is necessary to achieving the Governor's goal to make New Jersey into the premier hub for offshore wind development along the Eastern Seaboard and vital to the cost-effective and timely deployment of offshore wind in the state.

The development of the New Jersey Wind Port for the purposes of offshore wind marshalling and manufacturing will bring hundreds of jobs to the region to support the state's burgeoning offshore wind industry. Marshalling ports are large waterside sites with the acreage and weight-carrying capacity necessary to assemble, store and ship wind turbines out to lease areas. They are critical to meeting New Jersey's current and committed demand for offshore wind.

The MOU between the Board and the EDA will enable the transfer of \$13.2 million dollars in funding which will directly aid in the development of the New Jersey Wind Port. The work funded would include completing the Port's design, as well as early steps of development such as site preparation and establishing a reliable power connection to the Port.

Staff proposed the Board and the EDA enter into an MOU to support the development of a critical, first-of-its-kind, marshalling and manufacturing facility to support New Jersey's growing offshore wind industry.

Staff recommended that Board approve the terms and conditions of the MOU and authorized the execution of the MOU by the President.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

Q. Docket No. EO21030630 – In the Matter of the Verified Petition of Jersey Central Power and Light Company for Approval of an Electric Vehicle Program and an Associated Cost Recovery Mechanism.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION: On March 1, 2021, Jersey Central Power and Light Company (JCP&L or Company) filed a petition with the Board seeking approval to invest approximately \$49.924 million (\$16.242 million as capital expenditures and \$33.682 million for operations and maintenance expenses) over a four year period, commencing January 1, 2022, for an electric vehicle (EV) program (EV Driven Program).

Staff recommended that the Board retain this matter for hearing at the Board, and designated Commissioner Gordon as the presiding officer with authority to rule on all motions that arise during the pendency of this proceeding and to modify any schedules that may be set as necessary to secure a just and expeditious determination of the issues.

In addition, Staff recommended the Board direct any entity seeking to intervene or participate in this matter file the appropriate application with the Board by April 26, 2021. Staff further recommended that the Board set a bar date of April 26, 2021 for the filing of motions to intervene and/or participate and for admission *pro hac vice*.

DECISION: After discussion, the Board adopted the recommendation of Staff as set forth above.

Roll Call Vote:	President Fiordaliso	Aye
	Commissioner Holden	Aye
	Commissioner Solomon	Aye
	Commissioner Chivukula	Aye
	Commissioner Gordon	Aye

9. MISCELLANEOUS

There were no items in this category.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

After appropriate motion, the following matter, which involved pending litigation and/or attorney-client privilege, was discussed in Executive Session.

8. CLEAN ENERGY

G. Docket No. QO17091011 – In the Matter of the Approval of Contract Between Rutgers University, Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences and the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, Office of Clean Energy.

The substance of this discussion shall remain confidential except to the extent that making the discussion public is not inconsistent with law.

After appropriate motion, the Board reconvened to Open Session.

There being no further business before the Board, the meeting was adjourned.



AIDA CAMACHO-WELCH
SECRETARY OF THE BOARD

Date: May 5, 2021